15. The process according to claim 14, wherein the disjunctive set of elements extend substantially parallel to each other in the spatial frequency space.

16. The process according to claim 9, wherein the process suppresses noise effects.

The amendments to the claims are shown in bracket-underline format on separate pages in Exhibit A, attached herewith.

REMARKS

Claims 9-16 are currently pending in this application.

The Office Action rejected claims 9-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing non-enabled subject matter; rejected claims 9-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps; and rejected claims 9-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as being anticipated by Mistretta et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,381,486).

With regard to the Section 112 rejections of the claims, Applicants believe that these rejections are moot in light of the amendments to claims 9-16. Claims 9-16 recite and the specification supports a process useful for detecting the properties of an object using measurements within a spatial frequency space. (Claim 9, lines 1-2; substitute specification at p. 4, lines 16-17; Fig. 1). The process comprises: detecting a central area and first areas of the spatial frequency space, the first areas being a first distance from the central area (claim 9, lines 3-4; substitute specification at p. 5, lines 1-3); detecting the central area and second areas of the spatial frequency space, the second areas being a second distance from the central area (claim 9, lines 5-6; substitute specification

at p. 5, lines 4-10); and detecting the central area and third areas of the spatial frequency space, the

third areas being a third distance from the central area (claim 9, lines 7-8; substitute specification at

p. 5, lines 11-16). Thus, the present invention, as recited in claims 9-16 and disclosed in the

specification, addresses the problems of the related art, e.g., "in functional magnetic resource

imaging, there is a need for parameters that influence nuclear magnetic resonance signals to be

detected with the highest possible spatial resolution." (Substitute specification at p. 2, lines 3-8).

Applicants, therefore, respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the Section 112

rejections of claims 9-16. Applicants respectfully traverse the prior art rejection of the claims, for

the following reasons.

The data processing of the method described by Mistretta et al. refers to spiral imaging rather

than to Fourier imaging. Fig. 3 and col. 6, lines 63-65 of the reference disclose: "The boundaries of

these regions are concentric about the origin of k₂-k₄ space and a central region." This contradicts

Fig. 2 of Mistretta et al. which shows a conventional three-dimensional gradient recalled echo pulse

sequence with non-symmetric data sampling. Even assuming, arguendo, that data processing of

Mistretta et al. is done by Fourier methods applied to appropriately arranged data sets, the method

according to the reference is still distinguishable from claims 9-16. According to Mistretta et al.,

each fractional data set represents only a part of the entire k-space and is separately used for Fourier

transformation. Col. 7, lines 5-10 of the reference states:

... The first phase of the acquisition produces segments 1 through 8 which are reconstructed using an appropriate interpolation scheme and fast Fourier transform to

form image frames 301 through 308 in a time course series of images. The images

301-308 are of lower resolution than the final image ...

Each region (A through F) shown in Fig. 3 of Mistretta et al. serves for one separate image, so that at

least two images with defined properties are created, one image with high contrast and another image

261911_1.DOC

Attorney Docket No. 31-6 (7777-6)

- 4 -

with high resolution. In addition, four images are produced, with each image having a unique set of

contrast and resolution.

The present invention recited, for example, in claim 9, and claims 10-16 at least by virtue of

dependence, comprises a combination of elements, including detecting a central area and first areas

of the spatial frequency space, the first areas being a first distance from the central area; detecting the

central area and second areas of the spatial frequency space, the second areas being a second distance

from the central area; and detecting the central area and third areas of the spatial frequency space, the

third areas being a third distance from the central area. Thus, in contrast to Mistretta et al., the

process of the present invention acquires a central area, which is common to all measurements, in

combination with different areas of the higher frequencies of k-space, which are subsequently read.

In doing so, the contrast and spatial resolution of each separate image is equal. The combination of

low-frequency and high frequency data within one data set, ready for post-processing, distinguishes

the present invention from the method of Mistretta et al.

In light of the above, Applicants submit that claims 9-16 are patentably distinguishable from

Mistretta et al. Applicants, therefore, respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the

Section 102(e) rejection of these claims.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants submit that the claimed invention, as amended,

is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious in view of the prior art references cited against this

application. Applicants therefore request the reconsideration of the application and the timely

allowance of the pending claims.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the

fees to our Deposit Account No. 03-2775. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37

261911_1.DOC

Serial No. 09/742,470

Attorney Docket No. JT-6 (7777*6)

. .

- 5 -

C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

Dated: April 25, 2003

James M. Olse

Reg. No. 40,408

(Telephone) 302-888-6256 (Facsimile) 302-255-4256 EXHIBIT A - AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS OF S.N. 09/742,470

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend claims 9-16, as follows:

9. (Twice Amended) [An imaging] A process useful for [examining] detecting the

properties of an object using measurements within a spatial frequency space, the [imaging] process

comprising:

detecting a central area and first areas of the spatial frequency space, the first areas

being a first distance from the [cental] central area;

detecting the central area and second areas of the spatial frequency space, the second

areas being a second distance from the [cental] central area; and

detecting the central area and third areas of the spatial frequency space, the third areas

being a third distance from the [cental] central area.

10. (Twice Amended) The [imaging] process according to claim 9, wherein the first,

second, and third areas of the spatial frequency space are spaced at different distances from the

central area.

11. (Twice Amended) The [imaging] process according to claim 9, wherein the areas of

the spatial frequency space that overlap cover the central area.

12. (Twice Amended) The [imaging] process according to claim 9, wherein the first,

second, and third areas of the spatial frequency space have higher spatial frequencies than the central

261911_1.DOC Serial No. 09/742,470

Attorney Docket No. JT-6 (7777*6)

-1-

area.

13. (Twice Amended) The [imaging] process according to claim 9, wherein the first,

second, and third areas of the spatial frequency space extend substantially parallel to each other.

14. (Twice Amended) The [imaging] process according to claim 9, wherein elements of

one of the first, second, or third areas of the spatial frequency space form a disjunctive set.

15. (Twice Amended) The [imaging] process according to claim 14, wherein the

disjunctive set of elements extend substantially parallel to each other in the spatial frequency space.

16. (Twice Amended) The [imaging] process according to claim 9, wherein the process

suppresses noise effects.

261911_1.DOC Serial No. 09/742,470 Attorney Docket No. JT-6 (7777*6)